





We cannot solve our problems with the same thinking we used when we created them!



Some reflections



TERMS THAT CAME UP

Climate Change/Carbon

Neutral, objective, ideal

...

Excellent science

Dialogue

Translating science,
policy into practice -
and back ?

Controversies and
clashing interest
(Finland LULUCF,
France game, ...)

Trusted partner

Quick, express, ad hoc
demands ..

Definite responses,
no uncertainties !

Incentive structures

"petta reddast"

(it will all work out okay)

Better decisions (but
better in which
way?)

The meal metaphor –
and are cooks the best
critiques?

Is there a risk for
instrumentalising
interfaces? for what
- Need to be open about
interests, and ToCs



SUMMARY

Very rich and diverse country-context specific examples for interactions and active interfaces, different roles and different perspectives (exp. of France figure policy vs science, gov vs non-gov)

Many of the issues faced with regard to science and policy popped up in discussions today : ignorance of input, delay of processes, fitting the dominant discourse

The challenges of ad hoc needs, lack of incentive structures etc

The question of what is the underlying theory of change ? The problem of not knowing: who are the underlying interests, agendas of those involved in the interfaces ?

.. A major gap: no mentioning of impact, evaluation of what are the aims and intended changes these interfaces were set up to achieve!